

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/519,596	Applicant(s) ANDERSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Malcolm D. Cribbs	Art Unit 2115	

All Participants:

(1) Malcolm D. Cribbs.

(2) Sidney Wetherford.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 November 2006

Time: 2:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 rejections

Claims discussed:

Claim 30

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Outstanding USC 112 rejections on claim 30 were amended by applicant therefore the application is allowable

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

THOMAS LEE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 10

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)